

Additionally, both countries also focuses on keeping the peace and maintaining public safety providing community support and reassurance assisting with national security and emergency management [2].

References:

1. Police Managers Guild Trust. URL: [https://pmgt.org.nz/nz-police-2/#:~:text=The%20New%20Zealand%20Police%20\(Māori,th e%20peace%20throughout%20New%20Zealand.](https://pmgt.org.nz/nz-police-2/#:~:text=The%20New%20Zealand%20Police%20(Māori,th e%20peace%20throughout%20New%20Zealand.)
2. Alina Marie R. Tribunalo New Zealand policing system. URL: [https://www.scribd.com/document/531333671/NEW#.](https://www.scribd.com/document/531333671/NEW#)
3. New in New Zealand. URL: <https://www.live-work.immigration.govt.nz/live-in-new-zealand/safety/new-zealand-police#:~:text=Responsibilities,for%20breaking%20the%20road%20rules.>
4. New Zealand Police. URL: <https://www.newcops.govt.nz/about-the-job/our-values#:~:text=The%20principles%20are%20“part nership”%2C,Māori%20is%20essential%20to%20success.>

Strembitska K.,

student of the National

Academy of Internal Affairs

Language adviser: **Kozubenko I.**

NON-DISCLOSURE OF PRE-TRIAL INVESTIGATION INFORMATION: INTERNATIONAL EXPERIENCE

In connection with the improvement and optimization of the regulatory framework of our state and its promotion in the world of civilized space, there is a need to implement international law in domestic legislation. The effectiveness of pre-trial investigation bodies in detecting and investigating criminal offenses depends on a number of factors that have common features in the procedural legislation of different countries.

The problem of unjustified disclosure of information obtained by pre-trial investigation bodies is relevant in this context. It should be noted that disclosure of pre-trial investigation information in criminal proceedings

violates the rights, freedoms and legitimate interests of participants in criminal proceedings, prevents the establishment of circumstances to be proved, so the concept of "secrecy of pre-trial investigation" should be investigated in the light of international experience. Examining the rules of the countries, we noticed that the term "secret pre-trial investigation" is not used in Anglo-Saxon procedural law, as well as in the rules of criminal procedure in some countries of Eastern Europe (Ukraine, Lithuania) and Western Europe (Federal Republic of Germany), France). The procedural law of these states only determines the inadmissibility for participants in criminal proceedings without the appropriate permission to disclose the data of the pre-trial investigation, warning of criminal liability for violation of these obligations.

However, Art. 222 of the CPC of Ukraine contains almost similar provisions, namely the information of the pre-trial investigation can be disclosed only with the written permission of the investigator or prosecutor and to the extent that they consider possible [1].

At the same time, in the criminal procedure legislation of the Republic of Lithuania, the right to conduct a pre-trial investigation is vested in the prosecutor's office. In view of this, the right to grant permission to disclose the secrecy of the pre-trial investigation has only the prosecutor and only to a certain extent (Article 177 of the CPC of Lithuania) [3, p. 334]. After analyzing the subject matter in the criminal procedure legislation of the Federal Republic of Germany, it was found that information that belongs to the secrecy of the pre-trial investigation is divided into that which relates directly to the investigation or to individuals. The procedure for disclosing this information also has a different form, depending on the subject (civil servant or individual) to whom this information is provided (Articles 474-477 of the CPC of Germany) [3, p. 336].

In addition, the criminal procedure legislation of Germany contains a direct indication that the personal data of participants in criminal proceedings may be provided only to officials or persons who have special obligations not to disclose or transfer them to third parties. Provision of this information by employees of pre-trial investigation bodies is possible only in writing. It is also noted that disclosure of the secrecy of the pre-trial

investigation is prohibited if it may adversely affect the achievement of the purpose of criminal proceedings or contradicts the rules of both federal law and the law of the federal states [2, p. 334].

These examples provide an opportunity to conclude that the strengthening of procedural guarantees for the realization of rights, freedoms and legitimate interests of a person in criminal proceedings, as well as improving the regulation of criminal proceedings cannot be carried out without taking into account international experience.

References:

1. Кримінальний процесуальний кодекс. Відомості Верховної Ради. 2012. № 9-10, № 11-12, № 13. С. 88.

2. Єфименко І. М. Тасмниця досудового розслідування в кримінальному судочинстві європейських держав. Юридичний часопис Національної академії внутрішніх справ. 2017. № 1. С.329-337.

3. Гловюк І. В. Питання ознайомлення із матеріалами досудового розслідування у контексті практики ЄСПЛ. Вісник Південного регіонального центру Національної академії правових наук України. 2018. № 15. С.151-159.

Tanasiichuk A.,

cadet of the National

Academy of Internal Affairs

Language adviser: **Skrynyk M.**

MODERN DISTRIBUTION OF FORMS OF STATE ADMINISTRATION

In the literal sense, public administration is the implementation of government policies. Today public administration is often regarded as including also some responsibility for determining the policies and programs of governments. Specifically, it is the planning, organizing, directing, coordinating, and controlling of government operations.