

Therefore, management is a field of knowledge and professional activity aimed at forming and ensuring the achievement of organizational goals through the rational use of available resources. Although the organization has significant problems related to the management of subordinates, there are many ways to solve them.

References:

1. D. J. Cohen. Identifying the value of HR certification: Clarification and more complex models required. *Human Resource Management Review*, 2012, Vol. 22, pp. 258-265. DOI: 10.5296/ijhrs.v9i2.14459.

2. G. Malynovska S. Kis, Ya. Kalambet, O. Yatsiuk. A mathematical and testing tool for personal human capital research assessment. *Management Science Letters*. 2020, Vol. 10, Iss. 14, pp. 3291-3298. DOI: 10.5267/j.msl.2020.6.009.

3. S. Kis, G. Malynovska, V. Petrenko, O. Yatsiuk. Matrix of Personality Intelligent Characteristics as an Instrument for its Development Management. *Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research* [Online], 2020, Vol. 95. URL: <https://www.atlantispress.com/proceedings/smtesm-19/125917672>. DOI: 10.299 1/ smtesm-19.2019.64. (дата звернення: 10.06.2024).

Kacheniuk K.,

Student of the National

Academy of Internal Affairs

Language adviser: **Hipska T.**

ANTI-CORRUPTION COMPONENT IN COMPENSATION FOR STATE DAMAGES

Corruption and other illicit financial flows divert state revenues and cause damage to the national budget, which is to provide health care, housing, education, and other basic services.

The inclusion of an anti-corruption component in compensation for state damages is crucial for upholding transparency, accountability, and

good governance. When the state incurs damages due to corrupt practices, it is imperative that recovery efforts not only focus on financial restitution, but also address the underlying issues of corruption that led to such losses.

Here are key points to consider for an effective anti-corruption component in compensation for state damages:

a) identification of Corruption: Before compensating for state damages, it's essential to identify and acknowledge any corrupt practices that contributed to the losses. This may involve conducting thorough investigations and audits to pinpoint instances of bribery, embezzlement, or other forms of corruption;

b) recovery: Compensation should not only cover the financial losses incurred by the state but also aim to recover funds lost to corruption. This could include seizing assets obtained through corrupt means or imposing fines on individuals or entities involved in corrupt activities;

c) prevention Measures: Around compensation, efforts must be made to implement preventive measures to curb future corruption. This could involve strengthening institutional frameworks, improving transparency in procurement processes, and enhancing oversight mechanisms;

d) legal and Institutional Reforms: Compensation processes should be supported by legal and institutional reforms aimed at combating corruption. This might involve enacting or amending anti-corruption laws, enhancing the capacity of law enforcement agencies, and fostering international cooperation in anti-corruption efforts;

e) public Awareness and Engagement: Public awareness and engagement are vital in ensuring the success of anti-corruption measures. Transparency in the compensation process, along with clear communication on the consequences of corruption, can help foster a culture of accountability and integrity;

f) international Standards and Best Practices: Drawing on international standards and best practices in anti-corruption efforts can provide valuable guidance in designing effective compensation mechanisms. Collaboration with international organizations and peer learning from other jurisdictions can enrich domestic anti-corruption initiatives;

g) monitoring and Evaluation: Continuous monitoring and evaluation of the anti-corruption component in compensation schemes are essential to assess their effectiveness and identify areas for improvement. Regular audits and reviews can help maintain accountability and transparency;

h) asset Recovery and Seizure: One effective approach is to prioritize asset recovery and seizure in cases where state damages are linked to corruption. This involves tracing and reclaiming assets acquired through corrupt means, such as illicit funds deposited in offshore accounts or properties obtained through bribery. Asset recovery not only serves as a form of compensation but also acts as a deterrent to future corrupt activities;

i) civil and Criminal Actions: Combining civil actions (e.g., fines, restitution orders) with criminal proceedings against perpetrators of corruption can enhance the effectiveness of compensation efforts. Criminal prosecution sends a strong signal that corruption will not be tolerated and can lead to the recovery of damages through court-ordered restitution;

j) whistleblower Protection: Establishing robust whistleblower protection mechanisms is essential for uncovering corruption and facilitating compensation processes. Whistleblowers play a critical role in exposing wrongdoing within government institutions or public entities, and ensuring their safety and anonymity encourages more individuals to come forward with valuable information.

k) ethics and Integrity Training: Investing in ethics and integrity training for public officials and employees can help prevent corruption from occurring in the first place. By promoting a culture of ethical behavior and accountability, compensation for state damages due to corruption may become less frequent as compliance with anti-corruption measures improves;

l) strengthening Oversight Institutions: Empowering independent oversight institutions, such as anti-corruption agencies, audit bodies, and ombudsman offices, is essential for effective compensation efforts. These institutions should have sufficient resources, authority, and autonomy to investigate corruption cases and ensure that those responsible are held accountable;

m) international Cooperation and Mutual Legal Assistance: Given the transnational nature of many corruption cases, fostering international cooperation and mutual legal assistance is crucial. Collaboration with other countries in asset recovery and information sharing can significantly enhance the ability to recover state damages caused by corruption;

n) inclusion of Civil Society and Media: Engaging civil society organizations and the media in oversight and advocacy can strengthen anti-corruption initiatives and increase public awareness. Civil society can play a watchdog role, monitoring compensation processes and advocating for greater transparency and accountability;

o) institutional Reforms and Transparency: Implementing broader institutional reforms, such as improving transparency in public procurement, financial management, and governance structures, is essential for preventing future corruption-related state damages. Transparent and accountable institutions are less susceptible to corrupt practices.

In summary, integrating an anti-corruption component into compensation for state damages goes beyond financial reimbursement. It represents a commitment to addressing the root causes of corruption, promoting good governance, and safeguarding public resources for the benefit of society as a whole.

References:

1. Compensation for victims of corruption: Why does it matter? Sue Hawley, Corruption Watch.
2. Mitskaya, E. 2023. Fighting Corruption by Force of Criminal Law. *Cosmopolitan Civil Societies: An Interdisciplinary Journal*.
3. Exceptions require Policy Exception Committee approval unless otherwise delegated within the policy. *Corporate Compliance* April 25, 2024.